

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 OSCAR LEE OLIVE IV,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 HAYLEY MARIE ROBINSON,

14 Defendant.

CASE NO. C20-0356JLR-BAT

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT  
AND RECOMMENDATION

15 This matter comes before the court on the Report and Recommendation of United  
16 States Magistrate Judge Brian A. Tsuchida (R&R (Dkt. # 27)). Having carefully  
17 reviewed the foregoing, all other relevant documents, and the governing law, the court  
18 ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. # 27) and DISMISSES Defendant  
19 Hayley Marie Robinson's counterclaim.


20 A district court has jurisdiction to review a Magistrate Judge's report and  
21 recommendation on dispositive matters. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). "The district judge must  
22 determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly

1 objected to.” *Id.* The court reviews de novo those portions of the report and  
2 recommendation to which specific written objection is made. *United States v.*  
3 *Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). When no objections are  
4 filed, the court need not review de novo the report and recommendation. *Wang v.*  
5 *Masaitis*, 416 F.3d 992, 1000 n.13 (9th Cir. 2005).

6 Here, no party has objected to Magistrate Judge Tsuchida’s Report and  
7 Recommendation. (*See* Dkt.) Thus, the court need not review de novo the Report and  
8 Recommendation. *See Wang*, 416 F.3d at 1000. Moreover, the court has examined the  
9 record before it, including Magistrate Judge Tsuchida’s Report and Recommendation,  
10 and finds the Magistrate Judge’s reasoning persuasive in light of that record.

11 Accordingly, the court ADOPTS the R&R in its entirety. The Clerk is DIRECTED to  
12 send copies of this order to the parties and to Magistrate Judge Tsuchida.

13 Dated this 6th day of July, 2021.

14  
15 

16 JAMES L. ROBART  
17 United States District Judge  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22